arXiv:1401.0145v4 [math.AP] 15 Oct 2014

LOW REGULARITY LOCAL WELL-POSEDNESS FOR THE CHERN-SIMONS-HIGGS SYSTEM IN TEMPORAL GAUGE

HARTMUT PECHER
FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK UND NATURWISSENSCHAFTEN
BERGISCHE UNIVERSITÄT WUPPERTAL
GAUSSSTR. 20
42097 WUPPERTAL
GERMANY
E-MAIL PECHER@MATH.UNI-WUPPERTAL.DE

Abstract. The Cauchy problem for the Chern-Simons-Higgs system in the (2+1)-dimensional Minkowski space in temporal gauge is locally well-posed for low regularity initial data improving a result of Huh. The proof uses the bilinear space-time estimates in wave-Sobolev spaces by d'Ancona, Foschi and Selberg and takes advantage of a null condition.

1. Introduction and main results

Consider the Chern-Simons-Higgs system in the Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{1+2} = \mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}^2_x$ with metric $g_{\mu\nu} = diag(1,-1,-1)$:

$$F_{\mu\nu} = 2\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} Im(\overline{\phi} D^\rho \phi) \tag{1}$$

$$D_\mu D^\mu \phi = -\phi V'(|\phi|^2)\,, \tag{2}$$

with initial data

$$A_\nu(0) = a_\nu\,,\ \phi(0) = \phi_0\,,\ (\partial_0\phi)(0) = \phi_1\,, \tag{3}$$

where we use the convention that repeated upper and lower indices are summed, Greek indices run over 0,1,2 and Latin indices over 1,2. Here

$$D^\mu := \partial_\mu - iA_\mu$$
$$F_{\mu\nu} := \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$$

Here $F_{\mu\nu} : \mathbb{R}^{1+2} \to \mathbb{R}$ denotes the curvature, $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{1+2} \to \mathbb{C}$ a scalar field and $A_\nu : \mathbb{R}^{1+2} \to \mathbb{R}$ the gauge potentials. We use the notation $\partial_\mu = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu}$, where we write $(x^0, x^1, ..., x^n) = (t, x^1, ..., x^n)$ and also $\partial_0 = \partial_t$ and $\nabla = (\partial_1, \partial_2)$. $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}$ is the totally skew-symmetric tensor with $\epsilon^{012} = 1$, and the Higgs potential V is assumed to fulfill $V \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$, $V(0) = 0$ and all derivatives of V have polynomial growth.

This model was proposed by Hong, Kim and Pac [HKP] and Jackiw and Weinberg [JW] in the study of vortex solutions in the abelian Chern-Simons theory.

The equations are invariant under the gauge transformations

$$A_\mu \to A'_\mu = A_\mu + \partial_\mu \chi\,,\ \phi \to \phi' = e^{i\chi}\phi\,,\ D_\mu \to D'_\mu = \partial_\mu - iA'_\mu\,.$$

The most common gauges are the Coulomb gauge $\partial^j A_j = 0$, the Lorenz gauge $\partial^\mu A_\mu = 0$ and the temporal gauge $A_0 = 0$. In this paper we exclusively study the temporal gauge for low regularity data.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35Q40, 35L70
Key words and phrases: Chern-Simons-Higgs, local well-posedness, temporal gauge

Global well-posedness in the Coulomb gauge was proven by Chae and Choe [CC] for data $a_\mu \in H^a$, $\phi_0 \in H^b$, $\phi_1 \in H^{b-1}$ where $(a,b) = (l, l+1)$ with $l \geq 1$, satisfying a compatibility condition and a class of Higgs potentials. Huh [H] showed local well-posedness in the Coulomb gauge for $(a,b) = (\epsilon, 1+\epsilon)$, in the Lorenz gauge for $(a,b) = (\frac{3}{4}+\epsilon, \frac{9}{8}+\epsilon)$ or $(a,b) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$, and in the temporal gauge for $(a,b) = (l,l)$ with $l \geq \frac{3}{2}$. He also showed global well-posedness in the temporal gauge for $l \geq 2$. The local well-posedness result in the Lorenz gauge was improved to $(a,b) = (l, l+1)$ and $l > \frac{1}{4}$ by Bournaveas [B] and by Yuan [Y]. Also in Lorenz gauge the very important global well-posedness result in energy space, where $a_\mu \in \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\phi_0 \in H^1$, $\phi_1 \in L^2$, was proven by Selberg and Tesfahun [ST] under suitable assumptions on the potential V, and even unconditional well-posedness could be proven by Selberg and Oliveira da Silva [SO]. In [ST] the regularity assumptions on the data could also be lowered down in Lorenz gauge to $(a,b) = (l, l+1)$ and $l > \frac{3}{8}$. This latter result was improved to $l > \frac{1}{4}$ by Huh and Oh [HO]. Global well-posedness in energy space and local well-posedness for $a_\mu \in \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\phi_0 \in H^{l+\frac{1}{2}}$, $\phi_1 \in H^{l-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $\frac{1}{2} \geq l > \frac{1}{4}$ in Coulomb gauge was very recently obtained by Oh [O]. For all these results up to the paper by Chae and Choe [CC] and Oh [O] it was crucial to make use of a null condition in the nonlinearity of the system.

An earlier result in the temporal gauge was also given by Tao [T] for the Yang-Mills equations.

In this paper we consider exclusively the temporal gauge and obtain local well-posedness for data $a_j \in H^{s+\frac{3}{4}}$, $\phi_0 \in H^{s+1}$, $\phi_1 \in H^s$ under the conditon $s > \frac{1}{4}$ and the compatibilty assumption $\partial_1 a_2 - \partial_2 a_1 = 2Im(\overline{\phi}_0 \phi_1)$. We use a contraction argument in $X^{s,b}$ - type spaces adapted to the phase functions $\tau \pm |\xi|$ on one hand and to the phase function τ on the other hand. We also take advantage of a null condition which appears in the nonlinearity. Most of the crucial arguments follow from the bilinear estimates in wave-Sobolev spaces established by d'Ancona, Foschi and Selberg [AFS].

We denote the Fourier transform with respect to space and time by $\widehat{\ }$. The operator $|\nabla|^\alpha$ is defined by $(|\nabla|^\alpha f)(\xi) = |\xi|^\alpha (\mathcal{F}f)(\xi)$ and similarly $\langle\nabla\rangle^\alpha$. The inhomogeneous and homogeneous Sobolev spaces are denoted by $H^{s,p}$ and $\dot{H}^{s,p}$, respectively. For $p = 2$ we simply denote them by H^s and $\dot{H}^s$. We repeatedly use the Sobolev embeddings $\dot{H}^{s,p} \subset L^q$ for $1 < p \leq q < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{s}{2}$, and also $\dot{H}^{1+} \cap \dot{H}^{1-} \subset L^\infty$ in two space dimensions.
$a+ := a + \epsilon$ for a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, so that $a < a+ < a++$, and similarly $a-- < a- < a$, and $\langle\cdot\rangle := (1 + |\cdot|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

We now formulate our main result and begin by defining the standard spaces $X^{s,b}_\pm$ of Bourgain-Klainerman-Machedon type belonging to the half waves as the completion of the Schwarz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{X^{s,b}_\pm} = \|\langle\xi\rangle^s \langle\tau \pm |\xi|\rangle^b \widehat{u}(\tau,\xi)\|_{L^2_{\tau\xi}} .$$

Similarly we define the wave-Sobolev spaces $X^{s,b}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}$ with norm

$$\|u\|_{X^{s,b}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} = \|\langle\xi\rangle^s \langle|\tau| - |\xi|\rangle^b \widehat{u}(\tau,\xi)\|_{L^2_{\tau\xi}}$$

and also $X^{s,b}_{\tau=0}$ with norm

$$\|u\|_{X^{s,b}_{\tau=0}} = \|\langle\xi\rangle^s \langle\tau\rangle^b \widehat{u}(\tau,\xi)\|_{L^2_{\tau\xi}} .$$

We also define $X^{s,b}_{\pm}[0,T]$ as the space of the restrictions of functions in $X^{s,b}_{\pm}$ to $[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^2$ and similarly $X^{s,b}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}[0,T]$ and $X^{s,b}_{\tau=0}[0,T]$. We frequently use the estimates $\|u\|_{X^{s,b}_{\pm}} \leq \|u\|_{X^{s,b}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}$ for $b\leq 0$ and the reverse estimate for $b\geq 0$.

Our main theorem reads as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Assume $s>\frac{1}{4}$ and $\epsilon>0$ sufficiently small. The Chern-Simons-Higgs system (1),(2),(3) in temporal gauge $A_0=0$ with data $\phi_0\in H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\phi_1\in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $|\nabla|^\epsilon a_j\in H^{s+\frac{3}{4}-\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfying the compatibility condition $\partial_1 a_2-\partial_2 a_1 = 2Im(\overline{\phi}_0\phi_1)$ has a unique local solution $\phi\in C^0([0,T],H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^2))\cap C^1([0,T],H^s(\mathbb{R}^2))$, $|\nabla|^\epsilon A\in C^0([0,T],H^{s+\frac{3}{4}-\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^2))$.*
More precisely we have $\phi\in X^{s+1,\frac{1}{2}+}_{+}[0,T]+X^{s+1,\frac{1}{2}+}_{-}[0,T]$, $A=A^{cf}+A^{df}$, where $\nabla A^{cf}\in X^{s-\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{2}+}_{\tau=0}[0,T]$, $|\nabla|^\epsilon A^{cf}\in C^0([0,T],L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$, $\nabla A^{df}\in X^{s,\frac{1}{2}+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}[0,T]$, $|\nabla|^\epsilon A^{df}\in C^0([0,T],L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$ and in these spaces uniqueness holds, and moreover $\nabla A^{cf}\in X^{s-\frac{1}{4},1-}_{\tau=0}[0,T]$.

2. Reformulation of the problem

In the temporal gauge $A_0=0$ the Chern-Simons-Higgs system (1),(2) is equivalent to the following system

$$\partial_t A_j = 2\epsilon_{ij} Im(\overline{\phi}D^i\phi) \tag{4}$$

$$\partial_t^2\phi - D^jD_j\phi = -\phi V'(|\phi|^2) \tag{5}$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow \Box\phi = 2iA^j\partial_j\phi - i\partial_j A^j\phi + A^jA_j\phi - \phi V'(|\phi|^2)$$

$$\partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1 = 2Im(\overline{\phi}\partial_t\phi)\,, \tag{6}$$

where $i,j=1,2$, $\epsilon_{12}=1$, $\epsilon_{21}=-1$ and $\Box=\partial_t^2-\partial_1^2-\partial_2^2$.
We remark that (6) is fulfilled for any solution of (4),(5), if it holds initially, i.e. , if the following compatibility condition holds, which we assume from now on:

$$\partial_1 A_2(0) - \partial_2 A_1(0) = 2Im(\overline{\phi}(0)(\partial_t\phi)(0))\,. \tag{7}$$

Indeed, we have by (4) and (5):

$$\partial_t(\partial_1 A_2-\partial_2 A_1) = 2Im(\overline{\phi}(D_1^2\phi+D_2^2\phi)) = 2Im(\overline{\phi}\partial_t^2\phi) = 2\partial_t Im(\overline{\phi}\partial_t\phi)\,.$$

Thus we only have to solve (4) and (5), and can assume that (6) is fulfilled. We make the standard decomposition of $A=(A_1,A_2)$ into its divergence-free part A^{df} and its curl-free part A^{cf}, namely $A=A^{df}+A^{cf}$, where

$$A^{df} = (-\Delta)^{-1}(\partial_1\partial_2 A_2 - \partial_2^2 A_1, \partial_1\partial_2 A_1 - \partial_1^2 A_2)\,,$$
$$A^{cf} = (\Delta)^{-1}(\partial_1\partial_2 A_2 + \partial_1^2 A_1, \partial_1\partial_2 A_1 + \partial_2^2 A_2)\,.$$

Let B be defined by $A_1^{df}=-\partial_2 B$, $A_2^{df}=\partial_1 B$. Then by (6) and $\partial_1 A_2^{cf}-\partial_2 A_1^{cf}=0$ we obtain

$$\Delta B = \partial_1 A_2^{df} - \partial_2 A_1^{df} = 2Im(\overline{\phi}\partial_t\phi)\,,$$

so that

$$A_1^{df} = -2\Delta^{-1}\partial_2 Im(\overline{\phi}\partial_t\phi)\,,\ A_2^{df} = 2\Delta^{-1}\partial_1 Im(\overline{\phi}\partial_t\phi)\,. \tag{8}$$

Next we calculate $\partial_t A^{cf}$ for solutions (A,ϕ) of (4),(5):

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t A_1^{cf} &= \Delta^{-1}\partial_1(\partial_2\partial_t A_2 + \partial_1\partial_t A_1)\\
&= 2\Delta^{-1}\partial_1(\partial_2 Im(\overline{\phi}D_1\phi) - \partial_1 Im(\overline{\phi}D_2\phi)\\
&= 2\Delta^{-1}\partial_1 Im(\partial_2\overline{\phi}D_1\phi + \overline{\phi}\partial_2 D_1\phi - \partial_1\overline{\phi}D_2\phi - \overline{\phi}\partial_1 D_2\phi)\\
&= 2\Delta^{-1}\partial_1 Im[\partial_2\overline{\phi}\partial_1\phi - \partial_1\overline{\phi}\partial_2\phi - iA_1\partial_2\overline{\phi}\phi + iA_2\partial_1\overline{\phi}\phi\\
&\quad + \overline{\phi}(-iA_1\partial_2\phi + iA_2\partial_1\phi - i\partial_2 A_1\phi + i\partial_1 A_2\phi)]\\
&= 2\Delta^{-1}\partial_1 Im[\partial_2\overline{\phi}\partial_1\phi - \partial_1\overline{\phi}\partial_2\phi + i\overline{\phi}(A_2\partial_1\phi - A_1\partial_2\phi)\\
&\quad + i\phi(A_2\partial_1\overline{\phi} - A_1\partial_2\overline{\phi}) + i(\partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1)|\phi|^2]\\
&= 2\Delta^{-1}\partial_1 Im(\partial_2\overline{\phi}\partial_1\phi - \partial_1\overline{\phi}\partial_2\phi) + 2\Delta^{-1}\partial_1(A_2\partial_1|\phi|^2 - A_1\partial_2|\phi|^2)\\
&\quad + 4\Delta^{-1}\partial_1 Im(\overline{\phi}\partial_t\phi)|\phi|^2\,. \qquad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t A_2^{cf} &= 2\Delta^{-1}\partial_2 Im(\partial_1\overline{\phi}\partial_2\phi - \partial_2\overline{\phi}\partial_1\phi) + 2\Delta^{-1}\partial_2(A_1\partial_2|\phi|^2 - A_2\partial_1|\phi|^2)\\
&\quad + 4\Delta^{-1}\partial_2 Im(\overline{\phi}\partial_t\phi)|\phi|^2\,. \qquad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover from (5) we obtain using $\partial^j A_j^{df} = 0$:

$$\Box\phi = 2iA^{cf}\nabla\phi + 2iA^{df}\nabla\phi - i\partial^j A_j^{cf}\phi + (A^{df,j} + A^{cf,j})(A_j^{df} + A_j^{cf})\phi - \phi V'(|\phi|^2). \qquad (11)$$

We also obtain from (8) and (5)

$$\partial_t A_2^{df} = 2\partial_t\Delta^{-1}\partial_1 Im(\overline{\phi}\partial_t\phi) = 2\Delta^{-1}\partial_1 Im(\overline{\phi}\partial_t^2\phi) = 2\Delta^{-1}\partial_1 Im(\overline{\phi}D^j D_j\phi)\,.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
Im(\overline{\phi}D^j D_j\phi) &= Im(\overline{\phi}\partial^j\partial_j\phi - 2i\overline{\phi}A_j\partial^j\phi - i\overline{\phi}\partial^j A_j\phi)\\
&= Im(\overline{\phi}\partial^j\partial_j\phi - i\overline{\phi}A_j\partial^j\phi - iA_j\phi\partial^j\overline{\phi} - i\overline{\phi}\partial^j A_j\phi)\\
&= \partial^j Im(\overline{\phi}D_j\phi)\,,
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\partial_t A_2^{df} = 2\Delta^{-1}\partial_1\partial^j Im(\overline{\phi}D_j\phi)\,. \qquad (12)$$

Similarly we obtain

$$\partial_t A_1^{df} = -2\Delta^{-1}\partial_2\partial^j Im(\overline{\phi}D_j\phi)\,. \qquad (13)$$

Reversely defining $A := A^{df} + A^{cf}$ we show that our new system (8),(9),(10),(11) implies (4),(5) and also (6), provided the compatability condition (7) is fulfilled. (5) is obvious. (6) is fulfilled because by use of (9) and (10) one easily checks $\partial_1 A_2^{cf} - \partial_2 A_1^{cf} = 0$, so that by (8)

$$\partial_t(\partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1) = \partial_t(\partial_1 A_2^{df} - \partial_2 A_1^{df}) = \partial_t Im(\overline{\phi}\partial_t\phi)\,.$$

Thus (6) is fulfilled, if (7) holds. Finally we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t A_1 = \partial_t A_1^{cf} + \partial_t A_1^{df}\\
&= 2\Delta^{-1}\partial_1(\partial_2 Im(\overline{\phi}D_1\phi) - \partial_1 Im(\overline{\phi}D_2\phi)) - 2\Delta^{-1}\partial_2(\partial_1 Im(\overline{\phi}D_1\phi) + \partial_2 Im(\overline{\phi}D_2\phi))\\
&= -2Im(\overline{\phi}D_2\phi)\,,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used (9) and also (13), which was shown to be a consequence of (8) and (11). Similarly we also get

$$\partial_t A_2 = 2Im(\overline{\phi}D_1\phi)\,,$$

so that (4) is shown to be satisfied.

Summarizing we have shown that (4),(5),(6) are equivalent to (8),(9),(10),(11) (which also implies (12),(13)).

Concerning the initial conditions assume we are given initial data for our system (4),(5),(6):

$$A_j(0) = a_j \, , \, \phi(0) = \phi_0 \, , \, (\partial_t \phi)(0) = \phi_1$$

satisfying $|\nabla|^\epsilon a_j \in H^{s+\frac{3}{4}-\epsilon}$, $\phi_0 \in H^{s+1}$, $\phi_1 \in H^s$ and (7). Then by (8) and (7) we obtain

$$A_1^{df}(0) = -2\Delta^{-1}\partial_2 Im(\overline{\phi}_0\phi_1) = -\Delta^{-1}\partial_2(\partial_1 a_2 - \partial_2 a_1)$$
$$A_2^{df}(0) = 2\Delta^{-1}\partial_1 Im(\overline{\phi}_0\phi_1) = \Delta^{-1}\partial_1(\partial_1 a_2 - \partial_2 a_1)$$

and

$$A_j^{cf}(0) = a_j - A_j^{df}(0),$$

thus

$$|\nabla|^\epsilon A_j^{df}(0) \in H^{s+\frac{3}{4}-\epsilon}, \, |\nabla|^\epsilon A_j^{cf}(0) \in H^{s+\frac{3}{4}-\epsilon}.$$

In the sequel we construct a solution of the Cauchy problem for (8),(9),(10), (11) with data $\phi_0 \in H^{s+1}$, $\phi_1 \in H^s$, $|\nabla|^\epsilon A_j^{cf}(0) \in H^{s+\frac{3}{4}-\epsilon}$. We have shown that whenever we have a local solution of this system with data ϕ_0, ϕ_1 and $A_j^{cf}(0) = a_j - A_j^{df}(0)$, where $A_1^{df}(0) = -2\Delta^{-1}\partial_2 Im(\overline{\phi}_0\phi_1)$, $A_2^{df}(0) = 2\Delta^{-1}\partial_1 Im(\overline{\phi}_0\phi_1)$, we also have that (ϕ, A) with $A := A^{df} + A^{cf}$ is a local solution of (4),(5) with data $(\phi_0, \phi_1, a_1, a_2)$. If (7) holds then (6) is also satisfied.

Defining

$$\phi_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\phi \pm i^{-1}\langle\nabla\rangle^{-1}\partial_t\phi) \iff \phi = \phi_+ + \phi_- \, , \, \partial_t\phi = i\langle\nabla\rangle(\phi_+ - \phi_-)$$

the equation (11) transforms to

$$\begin{aligned}(i\partial_t \pm \langle\nabla\rangle)\phi_\pm = &\pm 2^{-1}\langle\nabla\rangle^{-1}\big(2iA^{cf}\nabla\phi + 2iA^{df}\nabla\phi - i\partial^j A_j^{cf}\phi \\ &+ (A^{df,j} + A^{cf,j})(A_j^{df} + A_j^{cf})\phi - \phi V'(|\phi|^2) + \phi\big)\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Fundamental for the proof of our theorem are the following bilinear estimates in wave-Sobolev spaces which were proven by d'Ancona, Foschi and Selberg in the two-dimensional case $n = 2$ in [AFS] in a more general form which include many limit cases which we do not need.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $n = 2$. The estimate*

$$\|uv\|_{X^{-s_0,-b_0}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} \lesssim \|u\|_{X^{s_1,b_1}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} \|v\|_{X^{s_2,b_2}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}$$

holds, provided the following conditions hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
&b_0 + b_1 + b_2 > \frac{1}{2} \\
&b_0 + b_1 > 0 \\
&b_0 + b_2 > 0 \\
&b_1 + b_2 > 0 \\
&s_0 + s_1 + s_2 > \frac{3}{2} - (b_0 + b_1 + b_2) \\
&s_0 + s_1 + s_2 > 1 - \min(b_0 + b_1, b_0 + b_2, b_1 + b_2) \\
&s_0 + s_1 + s_2 > \frac{1}{2} - \min(b_0, b_1, b_2) \\
&s_0 + s_1 + s_2 > \frac{3}{4}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(s_0+b_0)+2s_1+2s_2>1\\
&2s_0+(s_1+b_1)+2s_2>1\\
&2s_0+2s_1+(s_2+b_2)>1\\
&s_1+s_2\geq\max(0,-b_0)\\
&s_0+s_2\geq\max(0,-b_1)\\
&s_0+s_1\geq\max(0,-b_2)\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Taking the considerations of the previous section into account Theorem 1.1 reduces to the following proposition and its corollary.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume $s>\frac{1}{4}$. The system*

$$
\begin{aligned}
(i\partial_t\pm\langle\nabla\rangle)\phi_\pm &= \pm 2^{-1}\langle\nabla\rangle^{-1}\big(2iA^{cf}\nabla\phi+2iA^{df}\nabla\phi-i\,\partial^jA_j^{cf}\phi \qquad (15)\\
&\quad+(A^{df,j}+A^{cf,j})(A_j^{df}+A_j^{cf})\phi-\phi V'(|\phi|^2)+\phi\big)\\
A_1^{df} &= -2\Delta^{-1}\partial_2 Im(\overline{\phi}\partial_t\phi)\quad,\quad A_2^{df}=2\Delta^{-1}\partial_1 Im(\overline{\phi}\partial_t\phi) \qquad (16)\\
\partial_tA_1^{cf} &= 2\Delta^{-1}\partial_1 Im(\partial_2\overline{\phi}\partial_1\phi-\partial_1\overline{\phi}\partial_2\phi)+2\Delta^{-1}\partial_1(A_2\partial_1|\phi|^2-A_1\partial_2|\phi|^2)\\
&\quad+4\Delta^{-1}\partial_1 Im(\overline{\phi}\partial_t\phi)|\phi|^2 \qquad (17)\\
\partial_tA_2^{cf} &= 2\Delta^{-1}\partial_2 Im(\partial_1\overline{\phi}\partial_2\phi-\partial_2\overline{\phi}\partial_1\phi)+2\Delta^{-1}\partial_2(A_1\partial_2|\phi|^2-A_2\partial_1|\phi|^2)\\
&\quad+4\Delta^{-1}\partial_2 Im(\overline{\phi}\partial_t\phi)|\phi|^2\,. \qquad (18)
\end{aligned}
$$

with data $\phi_\pm(0)\in H^{s+1}$ and $|\nabla|^\epsilon A^{cf}(0)\in H^{s+\frac{3}{4}-\epsilon}$ has a unique local solution

$$\phi_\pm\in X_\pm^{s+1,\frac{1}{2}+}[0,T]\,,\ \nabla A^{cf}\in X_{\tau=0}^{s-\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{2}+}[0,T]\,,\ |\nabla|^\epsilon A^{cf}\in C^0([0,T],L^2)\,.$$

Here $\phi=\phi_++\phi_-$, $\partial_t\phi=i\langle\nabla\rangle(\phi_+-\phi_-)$. Moreover A^{df} satisfies $\nabla A^{df}\in X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{s,\frac{1}{2}+}[0,T]$, $|\nabla|^\epsilon A^{df}\in C^0([0,T],L^2)$ and also $\nabla A^{cf}\in X_{\tau=0}^{s-\frac{1}{4},1-}[0,T]$.

We obtain immediately

Corollary 3.1. *The solution has the property $\phi\in C^0([0,T],H^{s+1})\cap C^1([0,T],H^s)$, $|\nabla|^\epsilon A^{cf}\in C^0([0,T],H^{s+\frac{3}{4}-\epsilon})$ and $|\nabla|^\epsilon A^{df}\in C^0([0,T],H^{s+1-\epsilon})$.*

Proof. We want to apply the contraction mapping principle for

$$\phi_\pm\in X_\pm^{s+1,\frac{1}{2}+}[0,T]\,,\ \nabla A^{cf}\in X_{\tau=0}^{s-\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{2}+}[0,T]\,,\ |\nabla|^\epsilon A^{cf}\in C^0([0,T],L^2)\,.$$

By well-known arguments this is reduced to the estimates of the right hand sides of (15),(17) and (18) stated as claims 1-9 below. We start to control ∇A^{cf} in $X_{\tau=0}^{s-\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{2}+}$.

Claim 1:

$$\|\partial_i\overline{\phi}\partial_j\phi-\partial_j\overline{\phi}\partial_i\phi\|_{X_{\tau=0}^{s-\frac{1}{4},0}}\lesssim\|\nabla\phi\|^2_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{s,\frac{1}{2}+}}\,.$$

Using ($\pm_1$ and $\pm_2$ denote independent signs)

$$\partial_i\overline{\phi}\partial_j\phi-\partial_j\overline{\phi}\partial_i\phi=\sum_{\pm_1,\pm_2}(\partial_i\overline{\phi}_{\pm_1}\partial_j\phi_{\pm_2}-\partial_j\overline{\phi}_{\pm_1}\partial_i\phi_{\pm_2})$$

it suffices to show

$$\|\partial_i\overline{\phi}\partial_j\psi-\partial_j\overline{\phi}\partial_i\psi\|_{X_{\tau=0}^{s-\frac{1}{4},0}}\lesssim\|\nabla\phi\|_{X_{\pm_1}^{s,\frac{1}{2}+}}\|\nabla\psi\|_{X_{\pm_2}^{s,\frac{1}{2}+}}\,.$$

We now use the null structure of this term in the form that for vectors $\xi = (\xi^1, \xi^2)$, $\eta = (\eta^1, \eta^2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ the following estimate holds

$$|\xi^i \eta^j - \xi^j \eta^i| \leq |\xi||\eta| \angle(\xi, \eta)\,,$$

where $\angle(\xi, \eta)$ denotes the angle between ξ and η, and the following lemma gives the decisive bound for the angle:

Lemma 3.1. *(*[S]*, Lemma 2.1 or* [ST]*, Lemma 3.2)*

$$\angle(\pm_1\xi_1, \pm_2\xi_2) \lesssim \Big(\frac{\langle \tau_1 \pm_1 |\xi_1|\rangle + \langle \tau_2 \pm_2 |\xi_2|\rangle + \langle |\tau_3| - |\xi_3|\rangle}{\min(\langle \xi_1\rangle, \langle \xi_2\rangle)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{19}$$

$\forall\, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \in \mathbb{R}^2\,,\ \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ *with* $\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 = 0$ *and* $\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3 = 0$.

Thus the claimed estimate reduces to

$$\Big| \int_* \frac{\widehat{u}_1(\tau_1, \xi_1)}{\langle \tau_1 \pm_1 |\xi_1|\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+} \langle \xi_1\rangle^s} \frac{\widehat{u}_2(\tau_2, \xi_2)}{\langle \tau_2 \pm_2 |\xi_2|\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+} \langle \xi_2\rangle^s} \frac{\widehat{u}_3(\tau_3, \xi_3)}{\langle \xi_3\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}-s}} \angle(\pm_1\xi_1, \pm_2\xi_2) \Big| \\ \lesssim \|u_1\|_{L^2_{xt}} \|u_2\|_{L^2_{xt}} \|u_3\|_{L^2_{xt}}\,, \tag{20}$$

where * denotes integration over $\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 = 0$ and $\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3 = 0$. We assume without loss of generality $|\xi_1| \leq |\xi_2|$ and the Fourier transforms are nonnnegative. We distinguish three cases according to which of the modules on the right hand side of (19) is dominant.

Case 1: $\langle |\tau_3| - |\xi_3|\rangle$ dominant.

In this case (20) reduces to

$$\Big| \int_* \frac{\widehat{u}_1(\tau_1, \xi_1)}{\langle |\tau_1| - |\xi_1|\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+} \langle \xi_1\rangle^{s+\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\widehat{u}_2(\tau_2, \xi_2)}{\langle |\tau_2| - |\xi_2|\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+} \langle \xi_2\rangle^s} \frac{\widehat{u}_3(\tau_3, \xi_3)}{\langle \xi_3\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}-s}} \langle |\tau_3| - |\xi_3|\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big| \\ \lesssim \|u_1\|_{L^2_{xt}} \|u_2\|_{L^2_{xt}} \|u_3\|_{L^2_{xt}}\,.$$

This follows from Theorem 2.1 with $s_0 = \frac{1}{4} - s\,,\ b_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\,,\ s_1 = s + \frac{1}{2}\,,\ b_1 = b_2 = \frac{1}{2}+,$ $s_2 = s$. Its assumptions are satisfied, because $s_0 + s_1 + s_2 = \frac{3}{4} + s > 1 = \frac{1}{2} - b_0$ under our assumption $s > \frac{1}{4}$.

Case 2: $\langle \tau_1 \pm_1 |\xi_1|\rangle$ dominant.

This case is reduced to

$$\Big| \int_* \frac{\widehat{u}_1(\tau_1, \xi_1)}{\langle |\tau_1| - |\xi_1|\rangle^{0+} \langle \xi_1\rangle^{s+\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\widehat{u}_2(\tau_2, \xi_2)}{\langle |\tau_2| - |\xi_2|\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+} \langle \xi_2\rangle^s} \frac{\widehat{u}_3(\tau_3, \xi_3)}{\langle \xi_3\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}-s}} \Big| \\ \lesssim \|u_1\|_{L^2_{xt}} \|u_2\|_{L^2_{xt}} \|u_3\|_{L^2_{xt}}\,,$$

which follows from Theorem 2.1 with $s_0 = \frac{1}{4} - s\ ,\ b_0 = 0\ ,\ s_1 = s + \frac{1}{2}\ ,\ b_1 = 0+,$ $b_2 = \frac{1}{2}+\ ,\ s_2 = s$.

Case 3: $\langle \tau_2 \pm_2 |\xi_2|\rangle$ dominant.

This case is reduced to

$$\Big| \int_* \frac{\widehat{u}_1(\tau_1, \xi_1)}{\langle |\tau_1| - |\xi_1|\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+} \langle \xi_1\rangle^{s+\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\widehat{u}_2(\tau_2, \xi_2)}{\langle |\tau_2| - |\xi_2|\rangle^{0+} \langle \xi_2\rangle^s} \frac{\widehat{u}_3(\tau_3, \xi_3)}{\langle \xi_3\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}-s}} \Big| \\ \lesssim \|u_1\|_{L^2_{xt}} \|u_2\|_{L^2_{xt}} \|u_3\|_{L^2_{xt}}\,,$$

which follows from Theorem 2.1 with $s_0 = \frac{1}{4} - s\ ,\ b_0 = 0\ ,\ s_1 = s + \frac{1}{2}\ ,\ b_1 = \frac{1}{2}+,$ $b_2 = 0+\ ,\ s_2 = s$.

The cubic terms are easier to handle, because they contain one derivative less.

Claim 2:

$$\|A_i\partial_j(|\phi|^2)\|_{X^{s-\frac14,0}_{\tau=0}} \lesssim (\|\nabla A_i\|_{X^{s-\frac14,0}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} + \||\nabla|^\epsilon A_i\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2_x)})\|\phi\|^2_{X^{s+1,\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} \tag{21}$$

$$\lesssim (\|\phi\|_{X^{s+1,\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} + \|\partial_t\phi\|_{X^{s,\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} \|\nabla A_i^{cf}\|_{X^{s-\frac14,0}_{\tau=0}} + \||\nabla|^\epsilon A_i^{cf}\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2_x)})\|\phi\|^2_{X^{s+1,\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} . \tag{22}$$

(21) is proven by Sobolev's embedding theorem, especially $\dot H^{1-}\cap\dot H^{1+}\subset L^\infty$, and by splitting $A_i = A_i^l + A_i^h$ into low and high frequency parts, i.e., $supp\,\widehat{A_i^l}\subset\{|\xi|\le 2\}$ and $supp\,\widehat{A_i^h}\subset\{|\xi|\ge 1\}$. The low frequency part is easily taken care of as follows

$$\|A_i^l\partial_j(|\phi|^2)\|_{L^2_tH^{s-\frac14}_x} \lesssim \|A_j^l\|_{L^\infty_tH^{s,\infty}_x}\|\phi\|^2_{L^4_tH^{s+1}_x} \lesssim \||\nabla|^\epsilon A_j\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x}\|\phi\|^2_{X^{s+1,\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} .$$

For the high frequency part we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|A_i^h\partial_j(|\phi|^2)\|_{L^2_tH^{s-\frac14}_x}\\
&\lesssim \|\langle\nabla\rangle^{s-\frac14}A_i^h\|_{L^2_tL^{\infty-}_x}\|\partial_j(|\phi|^2)\|_{L^\infty_tL^{2+}_x} + \|A_i^h\|_{L^2_tL^\infty_x}\|\langle\nabla\rangle^{s-\frac14}\partial_j(|\phi|^2)\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x}\\
&\lesssim \|\langle\nabla\rangle^{s-\frac14}A_i^h\|_{L^2_tL^{\infty-}_x}\|\phi\|^2_{L^\infty_tH^{1+}_x} + \|A_i^h\|_{L^2_tH^{1+}_x}\||\phi|^2\|_{L^\infty_tH^{s+1}_x}\\
&\lesssim \|\nabla A_i^h\|_{X^{s-\frac14,0}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}\|\phi\|^2_{X^{s+1,\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} .
\end{aligned}$$

In order to obtain (22) from these estimates it remains to estimate A^{df}. We obtain by (16) and Sobolev's embedding $\dot H^{1-\epsilon,\frac{2}{2-\epsilon}}\subset L^2$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\||\nabla|^\epsilon A_i^{df}\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2_x)} &\lesssim \|\phi\partial_t\phi\|_{L^\infty_t\dot H^{-1+\epsilon}_x} \lesssim \|\phi\partial_t\phi\|_{L^\infty_tL^{\frac{2}{2-\epsilon}}_x} \\
&\lesssim \|\phi\|_{L^\infty_tL^{\frac{4}{2-\epsilon}}_x}\|\partial_t\phi\|_{L^\infty_tL^{\frac{4}{2-\epsilon}}_x} \lesssim \|\phi\|_{X^{s+1,\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}\|\partial_t\phi\|_{X^{s,\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} .
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Moreover for sufficiently small $\epsilon>0$ we obtain

$$\|\nabla A_i^{df}\|_{X^{s,\frac12+\frac{\epsilon}{2}}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} \lesssim \|\phi\partial_t\phi\|_{X^{s,\frac12+\frac{\epsilon}{2}}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} \lesssim \|\phi\|_{X^{s+1,\frac12+\epsilon}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}\|\partial_t\phi\|_{X^{s,\frac12+\epsilon}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} \tag{24}$$

by Theorem 2.1 with $s_0=-s$, $b_0=-\frac12-\frac{\epsilon}{2}$, $s_1=s+1$, $s_2=s$, $b_1=b_2=\frac12+\epsilon$. This is more than we need here.

Claim 3:

$$\|Im(\overline{\phi}\partial_t\phi)|\phi|^2\|_{X^{s,0}_{\tau=0}} \lesssim \|\phi\|^3_{X^{s+1,\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}\|\partial_t\phi\|_{X^{s,\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} .$$

This follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|Im(\overline{\phi}\partial_t\phi)|\phi|^2\|_{L^2_tH^s_x}\\
&\lesssim \|\phi^3\|_{L^\infty_tL^\infty_x}\|\partial_t\phi\|_{L^2_tH^s_x} + \|\phi^3\|_{L^\infty_tH^{s,\infty-}_x}\|\partial_t\phi\|_{L^2_tL^{2+}_x}\\
&\lesssim \|\phi\|^3_{L^\infty_tH^{s+1}_x}\|\partial_t\phi\|_{L^2_tH^s_x} + \|\phi\|^3_{L^\infty_tH^{s,\infty-}_x}\|\partial_t\phi\|_{L^2_tH^{0+}_x}\\
&\lesssim \|\phi\|^3_{X^{s+1,\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}\|\partial_t\phi\|_{X^{s,\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} .
\end{aligned}$$

In order to control $\|A^{cf}\|_{L^\infty_t([0,T],L^2_x)}$ in the fixed point argument we have to estimate the $L^1_x([0,T],L^2_x)$ - norm of the right hand side in (17),(18).

Claim 4 (a)

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla\phi\nabla\phi\|_{\dot H^{-1+\epsilon}}dt \lesssim \int_0^T \|\nabla\phi\nabla\phi\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2-\epsilon}}}dt \lesssim T\|\nabla\phi\|^2_{L^\infty_tL^{\frac{4}{2-\epsilon}}_x} \lesssim T\|\nabla\phi\|^2_{X^{s+1,\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}$$

(b)

$$\int_0^T \|A^{df}\nabla(|\phi|^2)\|_{\dot H_x^{-1+\epsilon}}dt \lesssim \int_0^T \|A^{df}\nabla(|\phi|^2)\|_{L_x^{\frac{2}{2-\epsilon}}}dt$$
$$\lesssim \int_0^T \|\Delta^{-1}\nabla(\phi\partial_t\phi)\nabla(|\phi|^2)\|_{L_x^{\frac{2}{2-\epsilon}}}dt \lesssim \int_0^T \|\Delta^{-1}\nabla(\phi\partial_t\phi)\|_{L_x^{\frac{4}{2-\epsilon}}}\|\nabla(|\phi|^2)\|_{L_x^{\frac{4}{2-\epsilon}}}dt$$
$$\lesssim \int_0^T \|\phi\|_{L_x^{\frac{8}{4-\epsilon}}}\|\partial_t\phi\|_{L_x^{\frac{8}{4-\epsilon}}}\|\phi\|_{L_x^\infty}\|\nabla\phi\|_{L_x^{\frac{4}{2-\epsilon}}}dt \lesssim T\|\phi\|^3_{X^{s+1,\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}\|\partial_t\phi\|_{X^{s,\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}$$

(c)

$$\int_0^T \|A^{cf}\nabla(|\phi|^2)\|_{\dot H_x^{-1+\epsilon}}dt \lesssim \int_0^T \|A^{cf}\nabla(|\phi|^2)\|_{L_x^{\frac{2}{2-\epsilon}}}dt$$
$$\lesssim T\|A^{cf}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{2}{1-\epsilon}}}\|\phi\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty}\|\nabla\phi\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim T\|\phi\|^2_{X^{s+1,\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}\||\nabla|^\epsilon A^{cf}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}$$

(d)

$$\int_0^T \|\phi(\partial_t\phi)|\phi|^2\|_{\dot H_x^{-1+\epsilon}}dt \lesssim \int_0^T \|\phi(\partial_t\phi)|\phi|^2\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2-\epsilon}}}dt$$
$$\lesssim T\|\phi\|^2_{L_x^\infty L_x^\infty}\|\phi\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{4}{2-\epsilon}}}\|\partial_t\phi\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{4}{2-\epsilon}}} \lesssim T\|\phi\|^3_{X^{s+1,\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}\|\partial_t\phi\|_{X^{s,\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}\,.$$

Next in order to estimate $\|\phi\|_{X^{s+1,\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}$ and $\|\partial_t\phi\|_{X^{s,\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}$ we have to control the right hand side of (14).

Claim 5:

$$\|A^{df}\nabla\phi\|_{X^{s,0}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} \lesssim \|\phi\|^2_{X^{s+1,\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}\|\partial_t\phi\|_{X^{s,\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}\,.$$

We obtain by Sobolev:

$$\|A^{df}\nabla\phi\|_{X^{s,0}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} \lesssim \|A^{df}\|_{L_t^2H_x^{s,\infty-}}\|\nabla\phi\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{2+}} + \|A^{df}\|_{L_t^2L_x^\infty}\|\nabla\phi\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^s}$$
$$\lesssim (\||\nabla|^\epsilon A^{df}\|_{L_t^2L_x^2} + \|\nabla A^{df}\|_{L_t^2H_x^s})\|\nabla\phi\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^s}\,.$$

Using (23) and (24) we obtain the claimed estimate.

Claim 6:

$$\|A^{cf}\nabla\phi\|_{X^{s,-\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} \lesssim (\|\nabla A_h^{cf}\|_{X^{s-\frac14,0}_{\tau=0}} + \||\nabla|^\epsilon A_l^{cf}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2})\|\nabla\phi\|_{X^{s,\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}\,,$$

where we split A^{cf} into its low and high frequency parts A_l^{cf} and A_h^{cf}. The low frequency part is estimated as follows:

$$\|A_l^{cf}\nabla\phi\|_{L_t^2H_x^s} \lesssim \|A_l^{cf}\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^{s,\infty}}\|\nabla\phi\|_{L_t^2H_x^s} \lesssim \||\nabla|^\epsilon A^{cf}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}\|\nabla\phi\|_{X^{s,\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}\,,$$

whereas for the high frequency part we use the trivial identity $X^{s-\frac14,0}_{\tau=0} = X^{s-\frac14,0}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}$ and Theorem 2.1 with $s_0 = -s$, $b_0 = \frac12-$, $s_1 = s+\frac34$, $b_1 = 0$, $s_2 = s$, $b_2 = \frac12+$, which requires $2s_0 + s_1 + b_1 + 2s_2 = s + \frac34 > 1$, thus our assumption $s > \frac14$.

Claim 7:

$$\|\nabla A^{cf}\phi\|_{X^{s,-\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} \lesssim \|\nabla A^{cf}\|_{X^{s-\frac14,\frac12+}_{\tau=0}}\|\phi\|_{X^{s+1,\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}\,.$$

By duality this is equivalent to

$$\|w\phi\|_{X^{\frac14-s,-\frac12-}_{\tau=0}} \lesssim \|w\|_{X^{-s,\frac12-}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}\|\phi\|_{X^{s+1,\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}\,.$$

We use the estimate $\frac{\langle\xi\rangle}{\langle\tau\rangle} \lesssim \langle|\xi|-|\tau|\rangle$ and obtain

$$\|w\phi\|_{X^{\frac14-s,-\frac12-}_{\tau=0}} \lesssim \|w\phi\|_{X^{-s-\frac14++,\frac12--}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} \lesssim \|w\|_{X^{-s,\frac12-}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}\|\phi\|_{X^{s+1,\frac12+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}\,,$$

where the last estimate follows from Theorem 2.1 with $s_0 = s+\frac{1}{4}--$, $b_0 = -\frac{1}{2}++$, $s_1 = s+1$, $s_2 = -s$, $b_1 = \frac{1}{2}+$, $b_2 = \frac{1}{2}-$.

Claim 8:

$$\|A^{cf}A^{cf}\phi\|_{X^{s,0}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} \lesssim (\|\nabla A^{cf}\|^2_{X^{s-\frac{1}{2}-,\frac{1}{2}+}_{\tau=0}} + \||\nabla|^{\epsilon}A^{cf}\|^2_{L^\infty_t L^2_x})\|\phi\|_{X^{s+1,\frac{1}{2}+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} .$$

Splitting $A^{cf} = A^{cf}_h + A^{cf}_l$ we first consider

$$\begin{aligned}
\|A^{cf}_h A^{cf}_h \phi\|_{X^{s,0}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} &\lesssim \|\langle\nabla\rangle^s A^{cf}_h A^{cf}_h \phi\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} + \|A^{cf}_h A^{cf}_h \langle\nabla\rangle^s \phi\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \\
&\lesssim \|\langle\nabla\rangle^s A^{cf}_h\|_{L^4_t L^{4-}_x} \|A^{cf}_h\|_{L^4_t L^{4+}_x} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty_t L^\infty_x} + \|A^{cf}_h\|^2_{L^4_t L^{4+}_x} \|\langle\nabla\rangle^s \phi\|_{L^\infty_t L^{\infty-}_x} \\
&\lesssim \|\langle\nabla\rangle^s A^{cf}_h\|_{L^4_t H^{\frac{1}{2}-}_x} \|A^{cf}_h\|_{L^4_t L^{4+}_x} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty_t L^\infty_x} + \|A^{cf}_h\|^2_{L^4_t H^{\frac{1}{2}+}_x} \|\langle\nabla\rangle^s \phi\|_{L^\infty_t L^{\infty-}_x} \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla A^{cf}_h\|^2_{X^{s-\frac{1}{2}-,\frac{1}{2}+}_{\tau=0}} \|\phi\|_{X^{s+1,\frac{1}{2}+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} .
\end{aligned}$$

Next we consider

$$\|A^{cf}_l A^{cf}_l \phi\|_{X^{s,0}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} \lesssim \|A^{cf}_l\|^2_{L^\infty_t H^{s,\infty}_x} \|\phi\|_{L^2_t H^s_x} \lesssim \||\nabla|^{\epsilon}A^{cf}\|^2_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \|\phi\|_{X^{s,\frac{1}{2}+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned}
\|A^{cf}_l A^{cf}_h \phi\|_{X^{s,0}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} &\lesssim \|A^{cf}_l\|_{L^\infty_t H^{s,\infty}_x} \|A^{cf}_h\|_{L^4_t H^{s,2+}_x} \|\phi\|_{L^4_t H^{s,\infty-}_x} \\
&\lesssim \||\nabla|^{\epsilon}A^{cf}_l\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \|\nabla A^{cf}_h\|_{X^{s-\frac{1}{2}-,\frac{1}{2}+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} \|\phi\|_{X^{s+1,\frac{1}{2}+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} ,
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of claim 8.

If one combines similar estimates with (23) and (24) we also obtain the required bounds for $\|A^{df}A^{df}\phi\|_{X^{s,0}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}$ and $\|A^{df}A^{cf}\phi\|_{X^{s,0}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}$.

Claim 9: For a suitable $N \in \mathbb{N}$ the following estimate holds:

$$\|\phi V'(|\phi|^2)\|_{X^{s,0}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} \lesssim \|\phi\|_{X^{s+1,\frac{1}{2}+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} (1 + \|\phi\|^N_{X^{s+1,\frac{1}{2}+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}) .$$

Using the polynomial bounds of all derivatives of V we crudely estimate using that H^{s+1} is a Banach algebra:

$$\|\phi V'(|\phi|^2)\|_{L^2_t H^s_x} \lesssim \|\phi\|_{L^2_t H^{s+1}_x} (1 + \|\phi\|^N_{L^\infty_t H^{s+1}_x}) \lesssim \|\phi\|_{X^{s+1,\frac{1}{2}+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}} (1 + \|\phi\|^N_{X^{s+1,\frac{1}{2}+}_{|\tau|=|\xi|}}) .$$

Now the contraction mapping principle applies. The claimed properties of A^{df} follow immediately from (23) and (24), and the property $\nabla A^{cf} \in X^{s-\frac{1}{4},1-}_{\tau=0}[0,T]$ from claims 1-3. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete. □

References

[AFS] P. d'Ancona, D. Foschi, and S. Selberg: *Product estimates for wave-Sobolev spaces in 2+1 and 1+1 dimensions*. Contemporary Math. 526 (2010), 125-150

[B] N. Bournaveas: *Low regularity solutions of the Chern-Simons-Higgs equations in the Lorentz gauge*. Electr. J. Diff. Equ. 2009 (2009) No. 114, 1-10

[CC] D. Chae and K.Choe: *Global existence in the Cauchy problem of the relativistic Chern-Simons-Higgs theory*. Nonlinearity 15 (2002), 747-758

[HKP] J. Hong, Y. Kim and P.Y. Pac: *Multivortex solutions of the abelian Chern-Simons-Higgs theory*. Phys. Rev. Letters 64 (1990), 2230-2233

[H] H. Huh: *Local and global solutions of the Chern-Simons-Higgs system*. J. Funct. Anal. 242 (2007), 526-549

[HO] H. Huh and S.-J. Oh: *Low regularity solutions to the Chern-Simons-Dirac and the Chern-Simons-Higgs equations in the Lorenz gauge*. Preprint arXiv:1209.3841

[O] S.-J. Oh: *Finite energy global well-posedness of the Chern-Simons-Higgs equations in the Coulomb gauge*. Preprint arXiv:1310.3955

[JW] R. Jackiw and E.J. Weinberg: *Self-dual Chern-Simons vortices*. Phys. Rev. Letters 64 (1990), 2234-2237

[S] S. Selberg: *Anisotropic bilinear L^2 estimates related to the 3D wave equation*. Int. Math. Res. Not. 2008 (2008), No. 107

[SO] S. Selberg and D. Oliveira da Silva: *A remark on unconditional uniqueness in the Chern-Simons-Higgs model*. Preprint arXiv:1310.3503

[ST] S.Selberg and A. Tesfahun: *Global well-posedness of the Chern-Simons-Higgs equations with finite energy*. Discrete Cont. Dyn. Syst. 33 (2013), 2531-2546

[T] T. Tao: *Local well-posedness of the Yang-Mills equation in the temporal gauge below the energy norm*. J. Diff. Equ. 189 (2003), 366-382

[Y] J. Yuan: *Local well-posedness of Chern-Simons-Higgs system in the Lorentz gauge*. J. Math. Phys. 52 (2011), 103706